

**South Central Regional Library Council
Board Meeting, Online
March 20, 2026
Minutes**

Present:

Megan Biddle
Gaby Castro Gessner
Sarah Glogowski
Kirsten Gosch
Margaret Kappanadze
Hugh McHarg
Mary Ann Munroe
Matthew Roslund
David Schuster
Brian Sullivan
Karin Wikoff

Excused:

Kristin Dade
Julia Iannello
Erika Jenns
Fantasia Thorne-Ortiz

Staff:

Diane Capalongo
Mary-Carol Lindbloom

Presiding. President M. Biddle called the meeting to order at 9:31 a.m.

DOC.#2026 – 12, 13

Consent Agenda. A motion to approve the Consent Agenda was made by K. Wikoff; seconded by D. Schuster. Approved.

DOC.#2026 – 14

February 2026 Bill Sheet #8. S. Glogowski highlighted out-of-the-ordinary expenses for February: final 10% of Digitization grants, shipping/postage, school library conference expense, audit invoice, presenter fees, and gift cards. A motion to approve the February Bill Sheet was made by S. Glogowski; seconded by M. Munroe. Approved.

DOC.#2026 - 15

CCDA Plan. In the past, the CCDA Plan was reviewed every 5 years by the collection development librarians. CCDA is considered part of Resource Sharing, and was loosely considered under the Resource Sharing Advisory Committee. Until 2011, the Plan had not been updated since 1992. The RSAC is comprised of ILL folks and not CCDA librarians. With the new Plan of Service, a CCDA Advisory Committee is now required by the State Library and was formed in December. They now have a webpage that includes role, purpose, activities, and members. Although a new committee, they have already completed their 2025-2026 activities including developing their role, purpose, review/revision of the CCDA Plan, and contributed to the CCDA portion of the 2026-2031 Plan of Service. The CCDA AC will review the plan once a year. A motion to approve the CCDA Plan was made by K. Wikoff; seconded by M. Munroe. Approved.

2026-2031 Plan of Service. The State Library has asked that the Board approve the template/offline version of the Plan of Service by April 1, 2026 for submission through the portal at a later date, which at this time is unknown. M-C Lindbloom indicated that there is always a Word or Google document from which she copies and pastes, and that the Board

was seeing that version instead of the PDF of the online one. All of the information to be entered should already be in the template up for approval. She will provide the Board with a PDF copy of the online version after the information is uploaded. If the State Library requests changes or if M-C Lindbloom runs into new questions not included in the template, she will be back in touch with the Board. She said that we need to leave the term “advocacy” out of the plan that we send to the state but it can remain under our Communication and Awareness objectives that go out to the membership version. A motion to approve the present plan of service template was made by M. Munroe; seconded by K. Wikoff. Approved.

Advocacy Discussion. The one-house budgets have been released and they are not equal. The Assembly added more to library construction than the Senate, and the Senate added more to State Aid than did the Assembly. When contacting legislators, we should advocate for the higher Senate dollar amounts.

S. Glogowski recently attended American Library Association’s National Library Legislative Day where she and other librarians from around the country gathered (she was one of 14 delegates from NYS—E. Jenns also in the contingent). They met with our Senators and Representatives, and also spent time on advocacy “how-to” meetings and education.

K. Wikoff mentioned What’s the Plan? That is a weekly discussion with Indivisible.org. They suggest phone calls are better than letters or emails and that in-person is #1.

D. Schuster suggested as new board members come on it may be helpful to have a one-on-one about how to do Advocacy and available tools, especially the part about calling. He also suggested and others agreed, that it would be a good Board retreat topic.

DOC.#2026 - 08

Executive Director’s Report. Updates: Sam.gov, the federal grant application portal, is now requiring organizations to certify they will not participate in DEI initiatives. The National Council of Nonprofits has a sign-on letter opposing proposed changes to federal grantees that would harm nonprofits and communities. The Board requested M-C Lindbloom to send them this information via email to review, and include the DEIJA Advisory Committee in the conversation. The deadline to sign the letter is March 30.

M-C Lindbloom is doing a telehealth survey to inquire about use; she has only received two replies out of ten, and plans to call the remaining ones.

Adjournment. A motion to adjourn was made by S. Glogowski and seconded by M. Kappanadze. The meeting adjourned at 10:56 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Karin Wikoff, Board Secretary